App F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 4-26

(Miscellaneous)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Previous References

Mrs 301-26-1-7
" 401-22-1-17
" " 18-37

Later References

Mrs 4-26-S 22
" Rev 12-1-27
" 102-38(2)-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial 4

Part B for April 1927.

SUBJECT

Submits for sanction a revised estimate for Rs 13220-14-11 for the renovation of the under frame of the big car of the Sri Sorikaleeswarar Swamy temple.

S(2)-4-0-Mc 405-6
dated 28.8.26

To the Mrs Comptroller

The revised estimate is sanctioned

[signature]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mujrai)

NOTES.

File No. 4-26

FILE HEADING.

Revised estimate for repairs to the big car of the Sri Sri Kanteswara Swami Temple.

From

The Mujrai Commr.

No. 1416 of 1926 Dated 7. 7. 1926 Received

Diary No. 42	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits ~~for~~ sanction to a revised estimate for Rs 13220-14-11 for the renovation of the under frame ~~repairs~~ of the big Car of the Sri Sri Kanteswara Swami Temple

NOTES AND ORDERS.

G.O.t. in their order no 804-7/Mrg 307-24 of the 7th January 1926 sanctioned the original Estimate for the renovation at an approximate Cost of Rs 9000=

2- The Dr Comr Mysore Explains that

NOTES—First Sheet.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

41(2) WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mwg. File No. 4 of 1926

Serial No.

The increased expenditure amounting to Rs 4220 over the sanctioned original Estimate has been chiefly due to the excess claim of the Superintendent Central Industrial workshop, amounting to Rs 1800, the excess remuneration claimed by the Car builder to the extent of Rs 1200 over the original Estimate and to an excess of Rs 370 under contingencies.

3 From Con[illegible] memo dt 19th may 1926 Viewed in another Connection it is seen that there was a ~~credit~~ balance of Rs 2243-12-2 at the credit of the temple on 1.5.26.

Added to this, the tax dck amount due up. to the 1st July 1926. will result also in a credit balance of about Rs 22371-7-2.

Submit with a draft G.O. for orders.

2243-12-2
20127-11-0
22371-7-2

24/7/26. 24.7.26

The R.C's letter dtd enclosure (D.C's letter) explains the — necessity for the increased expenditure over the sanctioned estimate.

In the circumstances, the revised estimate may be sanctioned the excess being met from the of the Temple, as recommended.

A typed draft G.O is put for approval.

Rev. Secy

Please put down typed draft.

24/7

Submitted with

26/7

NOTE—Contn Sheet

41(A)

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III.—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 4 of 192 6.

Serial No

1 The comparative statement showing excesses of Rs 4220. as against the sanctioned estimate of Rs 9000 does not give sufficient details to offer any useful criticism

2 The G.O. sanctioning the estimate for Rs 9000 appears to have sanctioned a contract for the whole work for a lump sum of Rs 9000 being given to Shanmugachari. It is not clear whether why this was not done.

The carpenter who submitted the bill is named Chellaiah Chari by the D.C. It is not known how he is connected with the contractor who prepared the estimate

3 The charges of the Swamimalai workshop seem to be extraordinarily high.

As against all this both the D.C. and the officer to very well satisfied with the work and recommend sanction to the revised estimate.

Submitted for orders.

2nd member) 29.7.26

Flag N.

CFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL, 30 JULY 1926 No. 713.

Hy 401-22 (placed below)

I have a recollection that the cost of this work was required to be met from the PWD Budget. Connected papers, if any, may be perused. The temple has no balance and what is meant by saying that the excess is to be met from the funds of the temple? only

29/7/26

30/7

29/7/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Kind attention is invited to the of the case connected with the repai Car of the Sri Sri Kanteswara ... at Nanjungud, drawn up & place Mis. File no 401-22. From it 2 of the members final note of the in Mis. File 307-24, it will be the work connected with the car the Sri Sri Kanteswaraswamy temple he ~~been~~ dealt with, partly in the P.W.D partly in the Mysore Dept. At time when the question of fin for Excess Expenditure incurred was ~~Considered~~ in Mis. File ~~that~~ it was found that the car had a deficit balance.

But now because of the Tasdik New Year amounting to Rs. (2017-11- and the credit balance of abou on 1st May 1926 as reported by the the funds of the temple would admit of the possibility of the Excess Estimate Expenditure over the Estimate Sanctioned in Rs 4220-14-11)

Submitted for orders with the orders in pe

Rev. Sec'y)

9-8-26

2nd member)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 AUG. 1926
1078

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 –17-12-25

[App. F. III.–2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mwz File No 4 of 1926.

Serial No

16) Draft appd
A 17) D.J.N. may be intimated.
The Revised Estimate is for a closed Work and Why any provision for Contingencies is necessary in such an estimate is not clear and an enquiry on this point may be made of M.C. Action for sanctioning the estimate need not wait until this enquiry is made
mwz
(2.8.26

Draft
approved
N
17/8

18) Draft letter & final Note submitted for approval!
Rev. Secy
12/8. Bh 13/8/26

N
13/8.
CRO 13.8.26.

19) The enquiry referred to, in his Member's minute, will be made, after approval of His Highness to the draft order put up.
N

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT COUNCIL
13 AUG 1926
No. 1215

2nd member)
A. Appd
mwz 13/8/26

N
14/8

20) D.S.N. submitted for approval.
Bh 17-8-26 Rev. Secy
N
17/8.

S N At once
CRO 17-8-26
N
17/8

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
18. AUG. 1926
Reg No. 1269

23) Dewan in charge)
S. N. Signed
Chi.
18/8

CRO
17/8
N
17/8

NOTE —Contn. Sheet

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

Repairs to the Car of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud.

With His Highness' sanction dated the 23rd December 1925, orders were issued for the repair and renovation of the under-frame, etc., of the car of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud at a cost of Rs 9,000, the work being entrusted to Mr. Shanmugachari, a professional car-builder of Chidambaram.

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, reports that the work has been carried out satisfactorily and expeditiously by the contractor, and it is hoped that the cause for the recurring complaint of every year has been completely removed. The work has, however, resulted in an excess expenditure of Rs 4,220-14-11 over the estimate originally sanctioned. The chief items of increases relate to charges incurred in the Forest and Industries Departments on items of work entrusted to them and to the increased wages claimed by Mr. Shunmugachari.

3. The Deputy Commissioner states that the charges are reasonable and has accordingly submitted a revised estimate for Rs 13,220-14-11 for sanction. The Muzrai Commissioner recommends sanction therefor, the cost being met from the funds of the Temple.

The revised estimate may be graciously sanctioned.

Bangalore,
16th August 1926.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* the repairs to the Car of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

K Kaundy

Dewan. (in charge).

Bangalore,
18th August 1926.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.



Revised estimate for repairing the car of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud.

Order.

~~KRW~~

20/8/26

1586/26/8

26/8/26

1) Admn Secr for Perusal

26 8 26

2nd member)

32) Please issue

Orders

20 8 26

33)

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Central and Revenue Secretariat

Mer File No. 4 of 1926 9

Serial No.	
2.	To, Mer Commr. & Comptr 405-6 & 28.8.26. Sanctions the estimate in question. 4318 1.9.26 Copy to Burmah Secy Sd with x draft copy Stn Para 17 H.C.K.P. 28.9.26 3/9 done 28/9
3	To, Mer Commr No 628 & 28.9.26. Enquires why any provision for Contingencies is req. the revised estimate being for a
4	From the Mgr: Comr., letter no. C 1604 of 26-27 dt 8.4.27 States that the provision for Contingencies is necessary for meeting expenses incurred for erecting pens for sawing the wood etc— 2) The provision for Contingencies is Rs 3; and the main item of expenditure under this head is erection of pendal for sawing wood. It seems doubtful whether so much amount was required for erection of pendal etc. Anyhow the amount has been sanctd & the necessity has been explained. As no further action seems called for, the papers will be recorded

1530

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 4 of 1926 10

Serial No.

After perusal.

Referee Para 17 of the note.

88
23.4.27



(Third Member) seen

6439

Office of the [illegible]

C 16169 24.25
End three.

[illegible]

GEN. & REV. SECTT. RP SECRETARIAT
JUL 1926
MUZRAI

[illegible]

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
RP
10. JUL. 1926
G. D. SECTION

[illegible]

10/7

[illegible]

Letter No.C.927/24-25 dated 29th June 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C. 1416 of 1924-25 dated 8th May 1926, in the matter of renovation of the big car of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of sanction a revised estimate for Rs.13,220/-14-11 as against a sanctioned estimate of Rs.9,000/- the increase of Rs.4,220-14-11 in the revised estimate being due to increased expenditure under the following items mentioned below:--

1. Wood :- All the logs were supplied by the District Forest -- Officer and a sum of Rs.1660-9-0 is charged against an estimate for Rs.1,000/-.

2. Industrial Workshop:- The Superintendent of Central Industrial Workshop claims Rs.6,400/- in his bill and an addition of Rs.200/- towards the wages and Railway charges due to his men. (Vide his -- letter No.2227 dated 6th May 1926), making a total of Rs.6,600/- as against the sanctioned sum of Rs.4,800/- (items 5,6,7 ,9,10 and 11 of the Estimate).

3. There has been a slight increase of Rs.151-12-0 and Rs.93-5-7 under items 12 and 14 of the sanctioned estimate respectively. ----- These amounts are small and were found to be actually necessary.

4. Remuneration etc., due to the Car-builder:-- Mr. Chelliahchari has submitted a bill for Rs.4,030-12-0 in final adjustment of all of his claims. The amount under items I II and III of the bill has -- already been drawn and paid to him. Items IV and IX of the bill have been included in items Nos. 14 and 16 of the revised estimate - respectively now submitted, as the materials were purchased for the work. In the remaining items Nos. V, VI, VII, VIII, X, XI and XII of his bill, a sum of Rs.1,639/- is now claimed; out of which Rs.1 have already been paid out of the sanctioned amount of Rs.650/- --- (item No.16 of the estimate) and a sum of Rs.252/- has been disallo The net amount of Rs.1200/- is a fair additional amount to be paid as remuneration for the accessary works which he has carried out. The work was of an arduous kind and the expert has done the work -- expeditiously and well. The acceptance of the claim is therefore strongly recommended. I have included this amount in the revised estimate for favour of sanction.

sum of Rs.370-0-1 has accordingly been included in the revised estim

As this work is the first of its kind, only lump sum provisions were made in the original estimate and some of the items were left out. During the course of execution of the work, it was found that the provision made in the original estimate was found inadequate.

The work has been carried out satisfactorily. The chief items of charge relate to the claims of the Forest Department and the Superintendent of the Central Industrial Workshop and the remuneration due to expert ---- carpenter. The first two items relate to Departments of Government and the amounts claimed by responsible officers for Departmental services have to be paid. Regarding the expert carpenter and his men they have come from a long way and done their work satisfactorily. The additional remuneration now claimed and recommended to be sanctioned is fair and may be paid."

"Copy"

for Mineral Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

N

G.O. No. 804-7/Muz.307-24-7 dated 7-1-26 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 9,000/- for the renovation of the car of the Sri Srikantes waraswamy temple at Nanjangud.

2. Letter No. 1416 of 1924-25 dated 7-7-1926 from the Muz. Commr. in Mysore, submitting for sanction a revised estimate for Rs. 13,220-14-11 for the said work, the excess expenditure of Rs. 4,220-14-11 having been found necessary in the execution of the work.

Issue one to M.C. Order No. 405-6 Muz 4-26-2 dated 28-8-26

Muz 2 & 26

The revised estimate for Rs. 13,220-14-11 is sanctioned as recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner. The excess of Rs. 4,220-14-11 over the original estimate will be met from the funds of the temple.

Rev. Secy. to Govt.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner (with the sanctioned estimate)
The Comptroller to Govt.

4-31. 31-9-26.
Copy to Huzur Secy to His Highness the Maharaja.

SUBJECT .....

Section

File No. 4 - 26

Seria No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 626

To The Insp Comm

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 28-3-26

Sir,

In Continuation of G.O.No 405-6 & 28.8.26 sanctioning revised estimate for Rs 13,220-14-11 ~~inclusive of an excess of Rs 1,220-14-11 over the original estimate~~ for repairing the Car of Sri Kanteswara Swami's temple at Nanjangud, I am directed to enquire why any provision for contingencies is made in the estimate ~~submitted~~ for closed work.

Signed by Mr. Narasimhaiya

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Answer yes & over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8 25

28-3-26

No. C 604/26-27
me

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 16th April 1927.

FROM

P.G. D'Souza Esq., P.A., P.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
19 APR 1927
MUZRAI

6091/18 C

To

P. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.626/M.F. 4.26 dated 28th September 1926, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. H.155/A.C dated 8th April 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, explaining why a provision for contingencies was necessary in the revised estimate for rebuilding the big car of the temple of Sri Srikanteswaraswami at Nanjangud.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

VSM.

Copy of letter No.H.155.A.C., dated 7th and 8th April 1927, from the Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Car-Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple - Nanjangud - repairs.

With reference to your memo No.C.1416 of 1924-25 dated 2nd October 192 forwarding copy of letter No.626/Muz.4.26 dated 28th September 1926 and cal ing for submission of the information required therein, I have the honour t state that only lumpsum provisions were made in the original estimate and t items such as erection of Pandal for sawing the wood etc., not provided in t original estimate, were found necessary at the time of execution of the wor and for which amounts have been spent, were included under the contingencie in the revised estimate.

C o p y.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

VSM.